UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/550,154	09/20/2005	Margaretha Grind	056291-5256	1056
, - -	7590 04/16/200 VIS & BOCKIUS LLP	EXAMINER		
1111 PENNSY	LVANIA AVENUE N		AUDET, MAURY A	
WASHINGTON, DC 20004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1654	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/16/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/550,154	GRIND, MARGARETHA			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	MAURY AUDET	1654			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Au 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 14,16,18-27,30-41 and 45-53 is/are personal of the above claim(s) 22-27,30-41 and 45-55 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 14,16 and 18-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	53 is/are withdrawn from consider	ration.			
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 9/20/05 is/are: a) ☑ accomplicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/29/07.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

Application/Control Number: 10/550,154 Page 2

Art Unit: 1654

DETAILED ACTION

The present application has been transferred from former Examiner Khanna to the present Examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 14, 16, and 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ahmad et al. (US 2005/0085497 A1) in view of Wong et al. (US 2004/0067995 A1).

Ahmad et al. teach the use of compounds of formula I to treat cholesterol disorders, including the use of melagatran therein (from a list of only 4 agents):

"Anti-thrombotic agents which may be employed in combination with compounds of formula I of the invention include melagatran and ximelagatran (Exanta.TM. Astra Zeneca), warfarin and Factor Xa inhibitors such as razaxaban."

Application/Control Number: 10/550,154 Page 3

Art Unit: 1654

(see abstract, para 315) [It is noted that Applicant has retained the open "comprising" language, allowing for anything else to be administered therein, along with melagatran].

Wong et al. teach that melagatran prodrugs were well known in the art at the time of the invention, and known to be administered in combination with other agents for antithromboembolitic agents (wherein the other agents that may also be used in combination included cholesterol lowering drugs) (para 75, claim 6).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the claimed invention was made to use melagatran or prodrugs thereof in a method for lowering cholesterol, in Ahmad et al., because Ahmad et al. teach that compounds of Formula I may be used to treat cholesterol disorders, and the selection of melagatran from a list of 4 antithrombotic agents, would have been merely a matter of routine optimization, depending on the desired effect.

Furthermore, the selection of any prodrugs thereof also would have been obvious based on the teachings of Wong et al., as such prodrugs were well known in the art.

From the teachings of the references, it is apparent that one of ordinary skill in the art would have had a reasonable expectation of success in producing the claimed invention.

Therefore, the invention as a whole was prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, as evidenced by the references, especially in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/550,154 Page 4

Art Unit: 1654

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MAURY AUDET whose telephone number is (571)272-0960. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th. 7AM-5:30PM (10 Hrs.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang can be reached 571-272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MA, 4/14/08

/Maury Audet/ Examiner, Art Unit 1654